



\$~20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.L.P. 385/2016 & Crl.M.A.No.11472/2016**

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION Petitioner

Represented by: Ms.Rajdipa Behura, SPP with
Mr.Philomon Kani, Ms.Kriti Handa,
Ms.Damini K., Ms.Hansika Sahu and
Mr.Ashray Behura, Advocates

versus

NARENDRA KUMAR & ORS Respondents

Represented by: R-1 and R-2 in person
Mr.Sanjay Diwakar, Advocate for
R-4
None for R-3, R-5 and R-6

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

%

17.07.2018

Learned counsel for respondent No.4 states that no reply is required to be filed on behalf of respondent No.4 and he would like to address arguments without filing the reply affidavit.

Respondent No.2 is present in person. He states that he has undergone brain surgery in March, 2018 and thus he could not engage a counsel and file reply affidavit. He seeks further time to engage a counsel. Reply affidavit be filed within six weeks. Rejoinder affidavit be filed within four weeks thereof.

CRL.L.P. 385/2016

page 1 of 2



Though none appears on behalf of respondent Nos.3 and 5 however reply affidavit has been filed by respondent No.5. Issue bailable warrants against respondent Nos.3 and 5 in the sum of ₹10,000/- to be executed through the concerned Inspector of the petitioner returnable on 22nd January, 2019.

Respondent No.1 is present in person. He seeks further time to file a reply affidavit. Reply affidavit be filed within six weeks. Rejoinder affidavit be filed within four weeks.

Reply has already been filed by respondent No.6. Rejoinder, if any, be filed within four weeks.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

JULY 17, 2018

mamta