



\$~2

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 7621/2018

SURYA ENTERPRISES

..... Petitioner

Through

Ms.Deepika Madan, Adv.

versus

RAJU GUPTA

..... Respondent

Through

None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

ORDER

%

19.12.2018

W.P.(C) 7621/2018 & CM APPL. 29158/2018

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that since there were nine other cases pending between the petitioner and other similarly situated workmen, the petitioner was under a *bona fide* erroneous impression that the present case was also listed alongwith the said batch of cases before the Industrial Tribunal. She, therefore, states that none had appeared for the petitioner in the present case before the Tribunal, due to the aforesaid erroneous impression. She further submits that the petitioner has succeeded in all the other cases filed by similarly situated workmen before the Industrial Tribunal.

2. Upon the petitioner filing process fee, issue notice to the respondent through ordinary process, registered A.D. and speed post returnable on 01.04.2019.

REKHA PALLI, J

DECEMBER 19, 2018

sr