



\$~34

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 5449/2016

VISHAL KUMARPetitioner

Through: Mr. Vishal Gohri, Advocate.

versus

NEW DELHI MUNICIPAL COUNCILRespondent

Through: Ms. Puja S. Kalra, Advocate for
NDMC.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

% **26.05.2026**

1. The matter has been listed as per office noting.
2. In paragraph 22 of the judgment passed by this Court on 23rd April, 2026, it has been noted that the amount of penalty has been deposited by way of an FDR in the name of Registrar General of this Court. The relevant extract of the said judgement is set out below:

“22. The subject premises have already been de-sealed since the amount towards penalty has already been deposited by way of an FDR in the name of the Registrar General of this Court.”

3. It is pointed out by the Registry that the FDR is not in the name of Registrar General of this court. The FDR is in name of the petitioner and Mrs. Kamlesh Malhotra issued by UCO Bank, Delhi High Court Branch.
4. In view thereof, the aforesaid directions are modified and would read as under:

“22. The subject premises have already been de-sealed since the



amount towards penalty has already been deposited by way of an FDR in the name of the petitioner and Mrs. Kamlesh Malhotra.”

5. The office note stands discharged.

AMIT BANSAL, J

MAY 26, 2026

Vivek/-