



\$~3 to 7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 5440/2016, CM APPLs. 22636/2016, 24588-24589/2021, 24591/2021, 51094/2022 & 48077/2025**
BADAL & ORSPetitioners

versus

GAON SABHA BIJWASAN & ANRRespondents

+ **W.P.(C) 5445/2016, CM APPLs. 22666/2016, 24601-24604/2021 & 51088/2022**

BADAL & ORSPetitioners

versus

GAON SABHA BIJWASAN & ANRRespondents

+ **W.P.(C) 3874/2019, CM APPLs. 17584/2019, 23136-23137/2021, 26017/2021, 18238/2022, 51728/2022, 60911/2023 & 60914/2023**

SHRI BADAL AND ORS.Petitioners

versus

NCT OF DELHI AND ORS.Respondents

+ **W.P.(C) 3699/2020 & CM APPL. 5904/2021**

BADAL AND ORSPetitioners

versus

NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY
OF DELHI AND ORSRespondents



+ **W.P.(C) 16637/2023 & CM APPL. 66974-66975/2023**
SHRI BADAL AND OTHERSPetitioners

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND OTHERSRespondents

Appearance:- Mr. Praveen Suri & Mr. Manoj Pandey, Advocates for Petitioners in Item Nos. 3 to 7. [M:-9810928188].
Mr. Anubhav Gupta, Panel Counsel (Civil), GNCTD in Item No. 3.
Mr. Tushar Sannu & Mr. Aman Kumar, Advocates for GNCTD in Item Nos. 3 to 7. [M:-9911991166].
Mr. Satish Dabas, Mr. Harish Dabas, Mr. Sandeep Singh Nainwal, Advocates for M/s Niranjn Propetech Pvt. Ltd. in Item Nos. 3 to 7.
Mr. N.S. Vasisht, Ms. Saumya Gupta & Mr. Aashu Tyagi, Advocates for R-7 to 10 in Item No. 6.
Ms. Avni Singh, Panel Counsel for GNCTD in Item No. 7.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER
06.08.2025

%

1. It is submitted by Mr. Praveen Suri, learned counsel for the petitioners, that the petitioners are on the verge of entering into a settlement with Niranjn Propetech Pvt. Ltd. ["Niranjn"], which is one of the respondents in W.P.(C) 16637/2023, and that the settlement will have the effect of resolving the disputes in at least some of these writ petitions.
2. Mr. Suri has drawn my attention to the order dated 08.07.2025 passed in CS(OS) 424/2025 [*Sh. Badal and Ors. vs. M/s Niranjn Propetech Pvt. Ltd. and Ors.*], filed by the petitioners herein, against Niranjn, which records as follows:-



- “1. This is a fresh suit. No summons have been issued in this suit.
2. At the outset, learned counsel for the plaintiff states that after filing of this suit, plaintiff and defendant no. 1 through its Director, Sh. Vinod Yadav have arrived at a settlement. He states that the written agreement shall be placed on record within three (3) weeks.
3. This Court has perused the plaint and more specifically, paragraph 24 of the plaint which discloses previous litigation between the parties, which was decided against the plaintiff and has attained finality. It is clarified that in case no settlement is arrived at between plaintiff and defendant no. 1, this Court will first proceed to hear defendants before issuing summons in this suit.
4. At request of the plaintiff, list on 26.08.2025 in the Supplementary list.”

3. Mr. Satish Dabas, learned counsel for Niranjana, however, submits that he has no such instructions.
4. In view of Mr. Suri's statement that talks were, in fact, held in his office and some amount has also been paid by Niranjana to the petitioner, an adjournment is granted to enable the settlement to be concluded. If no settlement is arrived at within a period of ten days from today, the parties may approach *Samadhan*, Delhi High Court Mediation and Conciliation Centre, Shershah Road, New Delhi-110503, for the assistance of a mediator. The parties shall appear before the Mediator on 19.08.2025 and, in the event that a settlement has already been reached, they may inform the Mediator accordingly.
5. List before the Court on 16.09.2025.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

AUGUST 6, 2025
‘pv/JM’/