



9

\$~

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CS(OS) 1133/2015

MRS. RENU MATHUR & ANR

..... Plaintiffs

Through: Ms. Archana Sharma, Advocate with
Mr. Divakar Upadhyaya, Advocate.

versus

MRS. UMA NARULA & ORS.

..... Defendants

Through: Mr. S.C. Paul, Advocate with Ms. Roopa
Paul and Mr. H.L. Chumber, Advocates
for defendant No.1 with defendant No.1
in person.

Ms. Promila Seth, defendant No.2 in
person.

Ms. Sarvesh Rai, Advocate with
Mr. Sunil Chaudhary, Advocate for
defendant No.3 with defendant No.3
in person.

Mr. Bharat Deepak, Advocate with
Mr. Tarun Kapoor, Advocate for
defendants No.5 to 12 with defendant
No.8 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN

ORDER

29.01.2018

%

In pursuance to the last order, parties are personally present in Court.

It has not been possible to amicably resolve the matter.

Learned counsel for the defendant no. 1 states that present suit for partition is barred by limitation. Attention of learned counsel for the plaintiffs has been drawn to the judgment of this Court in ***Sri Kishan Vs. Ram Kishan, 2009 (159) DLT 470***. He prays for some time to examine the said judgement.



List on 22nd February, 2018 for arguments and disposal.

List the matter on 22nd February, 2018.

MANMOHAN, J

JANUARY 29, 2018

js