



\$~R-9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **MAC.APP. 1023/2013 & CM APPL. 17787/2013**

SHIBEET PRASSANAAppellant

Through: Mr. Anuj Gupta, Adv.

versus

JAGROOP & ORSRespondent

Through: Mr. J.P.N. Shahi, Adv. for Insurance
Company.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

% **09.04.2026**

1. Counsel for appellant/claimant, seeks to rely upon the disability certificate, which has been issued subsequently, pursuant to a fresh assessment directed by this Court; which certified permanent disability as 32% in relation to spine and left upper limb. MACT had considered 43% temporary disability and had awarded loss of income at Rs.30,000/- for three months and a lumpsum of Rs. 1,50,000/- towards loss of future income, considering that appellant/claimant may not be able to practice his vocation for a year.
2. Counsel for appellant/claimant, therefore, claims there has been a serious loss of future income, considering that the appellant was a flautist from *Prasanna* musical lineage and had a serious reputation in the musical arena and further, had an ascending artistic career with future growth.
3. However, he also had an implantation of K-nail in the left wrist



forearm, which has resulted in a disability in his finger making it virtually impossible for him to play the flute, which was basis of his vocation.

4. Counsel for appellant/claimant, seeks time to provide a computation of the basis of their claim.
5. Matter to remain on Board.
6. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

APRIL 9, 2026/MK/sp