



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA 545/2016

RAJEEV MIGLANI Appellant

Through: Ms. Medha Arya, Adv.

versus

URMIL GUJRAL Respondent

Through: Mr. Himanshu Nailwal, Adv. for R-1.

CORAM:

SH. H.K. ARORA, REGISTRAR (APPELLATE)

ORDER

% **01.02.2017**

RFA 545/2016, CM 27951/2016 (delay in re-filing) and CM 27949/2016 (stay)

Notice could not be issued to the respondents for want of PF.

However, Mr. Himanshu Nailwal, Advocate appears and accepts notice for respondent no. 1. He requests for complete set of paper book. Let the same be supplied within three days.

Counsel for appellant states that respondent no. 2 is stated to have expired during the course of the trial and she wishes to file amended memo of parties in this regard. Let the needful be done by the next date of hearing.

In the meanwhile, reply, if any be filed within two weeks on receipt of complete set of paper book.

Rejoinder thereto by the next date of hearing.

Put up on 15.03.2017.

REGISTRAR

Later on: Husband of respondent no. 1 appeared in person and was apprised of the order passed above.

REGISTRAR

FEBRUARY 01, 2017/cl