



\$~59 to 66

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 1767/2007**

DR.R.S.GUPTAPetitioner

versus

THE DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION ORSRespondents

+ **W.P.(C) 9933/2015 & CM APPLs. 24135/2015, 22640/2020, 34315/2022**

R.S. GUPTAPetitioner

versus

HON'BLE LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR
GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS.Respondents

+ **W.P.(C) 6148/2017**

DR. R S GUPTAPetitioner

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.Respondents

+ **W.P.(C) 58/2018 & CM APPLs. 283/2018, 7452/2018**

DR. R.S. GUPTAPetitioner

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.Respondents

+ **W.P.(C) 7404/2018 & CM APPLs. 28296/2018, 29506/2019, 29507/2019**

DR R S GUPTAPetitioner



versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS

.....Respondents

+ **W.P.(C) 958/2020 & CM APPL. 3066/2020**

DR. R. S. GUPTA

.....Petitioner

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS

.....Respondents

+ **W.P.(C) 10478/2019 & CM APPL. 43335/2019**

DR. R. S. GUPTA

.....Petitioner

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS

.....Respondents

+ **W.P.(C) 12339/2022 & CM APPLs. 37056/2022, 12954/2024, 24511/2024**

DR. R. S. GUPTA

.....Petitioner

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS

.....Respondents

Appearance:-

Dr. R.S. Gupta, Petitioner in Person.

Mrs. Avnish Ahlawat, Standing Counsel for GNCTD with Mr. Nitesh Kumar Singh, Ms. Laavanya Kaushik, Ms. Aliza Alam, Mr. Mohnish Sehrawat & Mr. Amitoj Chadha, Advocates for R-1 in Item Nos. 59 to 66.

Mr. Anurag Lakhotia & Mr. Udit, Advocate for R-2 in Item No. 59.

Ms. Latika Choudhary, Advocate for R-1 in Item No.



66.

Mr. Anuj Aggarwal, Amicus Curiae.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

08.04.2025

%

1. These eight writ petitions pertain to the service of the same petitioner as Post Graduate Teacher [“PGT”]- English. Mr. Anuj Aggarwal, learned *amicus curiae*, has filed a report containing his submissions on each of the issues raised in W.P.(C) 1767/2007, as the principal relief is sought in that writ petition. Mr. Anurag Lakhota, learned counsel, appears for respondent No. 2- DAV, Senior Secondary School, in that writ petition. However, it is submitted that in several of the other writ petitions, the respondent - School in question is under the management of a different Society, namely, Hindu Shiksha Samiti. The Hindu Shiksha Samiti is, unfortunately, unrepresented today.

2. For the present, the petitioner, who appears in person, is directed to ensure that a copy of this order is served upon each of the respondents in all the writ petitions.

3. Mr. Aggarwal is also requested to look into the remaining matters and file an additional report.

4. The petitioner, respondent No.1 - Directorate of Education, and the concerned School, may also file consolidated submissions dealing with each of the writ petitions. The report of the learned *amicus curiae* and the submissions of the parties will all be filed in W.P.(C) 1767/2007, although they should address the relief sought in all the writ petitions.

5. Learned counsel for the parties are also requested to be ready with



the PDF page numbers of the electronic file of the Court.

6. List on 19.08.2025.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

APRIL 8, 2025

'pv'/SD/