

\$~19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(OS) 296/2016**
ASHOK BAURY

..... Plaintiff

Through Mr. Aman Shankar, Adv.

versus

MR RAJ BAURY & ORS

..... Defendant

Through Mr. Pramod Kumar Dubey & Ms.
Neha Sarna, Advs. for D-2 to 6
Mr. Atul Gupta, Adv. for D-7

CORAM:

SHARAD GUPTA (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

ORDER

% **27.01.2020**

IA No.1035/2020 u/S 151 CPC moved by plaintiff for condonation of delay in filing of replication qua written statement of defendant no.7

It is stated by learned counsel for plaintiff that delay was about 15 days but the replication was filed within the stipulated statutory period of 45 days.

Learned counsel for defendant no.2 to 6 is present and accepts notice of the captioned IA. It is stated that said defendants have no objection to the captioned IA.

Learned counsel for defendant no.7 is also present and accepts notice of the captioned IA. It is stated that reply to the captioned IA is not required to be filed as defendant no.7 has no objection to the captioned IA subject to suitable cost.

Contd.....2

In view of above, the captioned IA stands allowed to the extent that the delay, if any, in filing the replication stands condoned, subject to payment of cost of Rs.7,000/- by the plaintiff to defendant no.7. Replication to the written statement of defendant no.7 is taken on record subject to payment of cost. Accordingly, IA stands disposed of.

SHARAD GUPTA (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

JANUARY 27, 2020/ab

[Click here to check corrigendum](#)