



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RC.REV. 506/2012 & CM APPL. 17482/2012, 74010/2024**

TEJENDER SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Kirti Mewar & Ms. Ira Dhingra,
Adv.

versus

USHA RANI & ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghu Vasishth & Mr. Saransh
Sharma, Adv. for R-1 (b)
Mr. L.C. Rajout, Advocate for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

%

06.02.2026

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. Learned Predecessor Bench of this Court on 01.12.2025 had passed the following order:-

“11. As such, this Court finds no reason to interfere with the impugned order dated 10.07.2012.

12. However, at this stage, without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the tenant and as a matter of abundant caution, learned counsel for the tenant seeks, and is granted, a period of four weeks to seek instructions qua the feasible time period within which the tenant would vacate the subject premises and hand over the possession thereof to the landlord, along with the terms of payment qua user and occupation charges for the concerned period.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from the latter, who is present in Court today, submits that he shall vacate the property within a



period of 10 months from today and will continue to pay a sum of Rs. 3,500/- per month as use and occupation charges.

4. Let an affidavit of undertaking on behalf of the petitioner, with respect to the aforesaid, be placed on record before the next date of hearing.
5. Re-notify on 25.03.2026.

AMIT SHARMA, J

FEBRUARY 06, 2026/jk/db