



\$~57

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RC.REV. 506/2012, CM APPL. 17482/2012, CM APPL.
74010/2024

TEJENDER SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Kirti Mewar and Ms. Kriti
Sharma, Advocates.

versus

USHA RANI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Saransh Sharma, Advocate for
R-No.1(b)
Mr. Abhishek Saxena, Proxy
Counsel for Mr. L.C. Rajput,
Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

%

26.09.2025

1. This Court has heard substantial arguments advanced by the learned counsel for both parties. However, after sometime, they seek, and are granted, a period of *four weeks* for addressing arguments as also for filing fresh written synopsis not exceeding *three pages*, giving a chronological list of dates and events and relevant documents, if any, alongwith duly highlighted judgments setting out the propositions of law therein, they wish to rely upon.

2. In the meanwhile, without going into the merits of the case as also without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the petitioner, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks, and is granted a period of *two weeks*, for taking instructions *qua* the feasible time period within which the



petitioner/ tenant would vacate the subject property and hand over the possession thereof to the respondent/ landlord, along with the terms of payment *qua* user and occupation charges for the aforesaid period.

3. Renotify on 01.12.2025 at 04:00 PM.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

SEPTEMBER 26, 2025/So