



\$~6(company matter)

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ C.A. NO.342/2020 in CO.PET. 516/2012

MASCOT ENGINEERING COMPANY LTD Petitioner

Through: Mr. Shlok Chandra, Ms. Mansie
Jain and Mr. Chandratanay
Chaubey, Advs.

versus

EASTERN MEDIKIT LTD Respondent

Through: Mr. Subhendra Bhattacharya,
Adv. for the Official Liquidator

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. HARI SHANKAR

ORDER

22.09.2020

%

(Video-Conferencing)

C.A. NO.342/2020 in CO.PET. 516/2012

1. The Official Liquidator has filed an additional affidavit, agreeing to the claim of the applicant, to the extent of ₹7,30,000/-, and to defray the said amount from the common pool funds maintained by the Official Liquidator.

2. Mr. Shlok Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant, on instructions, submits that he would be satisfied with the said payment, subject to the GST being paid in addition.

3. For the said purpose, he undertakes to furnish the GST number



of the applicant, to the office of the Official Liquidator through Mr. Kunal Sharma, during the course of the day.

4. On the said details being furnished, the Official Liquidator is directed to include, in the payment, to the applicant, the GST that would be payable on the said amount, so that the applicant could pay the said amount, in turn, to the tax authority.

5. Payment of the aforesaid amount be made by the Official Liquidator within a period of two weeks from today and an affidavit of compliance be placed on record. Mr. Shlok Chandra, fairly, submits that his client has already received ₹ 56,000/- out of ₹ 7,30,000/-. The said amount of ₹ 56,000/- would, therefore, stand reduced from the amount payable by the Official Liquidator.

6. At this stage, Mr. Shlok Chandra also points out that, in the application, towards pocket expenses claimed by the applicant, the Official Liquidator had accepted that 33 visits were made by the application, out of 56 visits claimed to have been made by it. Mr. Kunal Sharma, fairly, acknowledges that the applicant would be entitled to be paid for the said 33 visits, but submits that payment could not be made, unless the amount payable is verified.

7. For this purpose, Mr. Shlok Chandra seeks permission for his client to contact the Official Liquidator. He is given liberty to do so. The Official Liquidator is directed to make all efforts to settle the claim of the applicant, qua the aforesaid 33 visits, needless to say, in



accordance with law.

8. The applicant stands disposed of in the above terms.

C. HARI SHANKAR, J.

SEPTEMBER 22, 2020
r.bararia