



\$~CP-12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CO.PET. 516/2012**

MASCOT ENGINEERING COMPANY LTD Petitioner

Through

versus

EASTERN MEDIKIT LTD Respondent

Through

Mr.Kunal Sharma, Adv. for the OL.

**Mr.Manik Dogra, Mr.Santosh Pandey and
Mr.Rahul Pandey, Advs. for Ex. Directors.**

**Mr.S.K.Chaturvedi and Mr.Vishnu S.Pillai Advs.
for Ex.Director Mohinder Singh Paul.**

**Mr.Darpan Wadhwa, Sr.Adv. with Mr.Nishi
Ranjan Singh, Adv. for Ex.Director Karun Roy
Narang.**

**Dr.Manmohan Sharma, Adv. for EML Employees
Welfare Munch.**

**Mr.Manish Kumar and Mr.Y.Sarat Chandra, Advs.
for applicants in CA 1941/2013.**

Mr.Aditya Madan, Adv. for Union Bank of India.

**Mr.A.K.Mishra, Adv. for Trade Union in
CAs.292/2018, 2013/2016 and OLR 918/2014**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT NATH

ORDER

%

28.09.2018

CA No.1941/2013

1. This application is filed by the applicants seeking direction to de-seal the factory premises situated at No.547-L, Sector 37, Phase-II, Pace City, Gurgaon (Haryana) and for impleadment of the applicants in the present proceedings.
2. The learned counsel for the OL has submitted that the possession of the property has been received by the applicants.



3. At this stage, the learned counsel for the applicants states that there are large amounts/unpaid rentals for which he would like to file appropriate claim before the OL.

4. Granting leave and liberty to the applicants to file the claim before the OL within six weeks in the prescribed format, the present application is disposed of.

CA No.134/2015

5. By this application, the applicant seeks possession of the property situated at No.549-B, Sector 37, Phase-II, Pace City, Gurgaon (Haryana) and for impleadment of the applicant in the present proceedings.

6. It is stated that the property in question belongs to the applicant. It is also pleaded that the said property was let out to the respondent company for a period of five years on the monthly rental of Rs.75,000/-. A lease deed dated 01.12.2005 was executed between the parties. The said lease deed expired on 30.11.2010. However, both the parties amicably agreed to act upon the same terms and conditions with month to month tenancy.

7. The learned counsel for the OL states that some moveables are lying in the said property. He submits that the valuation report has been received.

8. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that he will pay the value of the moveables which have been valued by the authorised valuer.

9. On inspection of the original title deeds and payment of the valuation amount by the applicant, the OL will release the said property to the applicant with the moveables. Let the representative of the applicant visit the OL on 10.10.2018 at 3.00 p.m. for doing the needful.

10. The application stands disposed of.



CA Nos.1948/2017 and 297/2018

11. Arguments heard.
12. Judgment reserved.

CA Nos.2797/2014

13. This application is infructuous and stands disposed of.

OLR Nos.344/2015, 4/2016 and 158/2016

14. These OLRs are infructuous and stand disposed of.

CO.PET. 516/2012

15. List on 14.02.2019.

JAYANT NATH, J.

SEPTEMBER 28, 2018/v