



\$~29 to 36

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 4572/2011
HOSPITALS & NURSING HOMES EMPLOYEES FEDERATION
(REGD.) & ORS.Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

GOVT.OF INDIA & ORS.Respondents

Through: Ms. Anubha Bhardwaj, CGSC with
Ms. Anchal Kashyap, Adv. for R-1.
Mr. S.C. Buttan, Mr. Ojasvi Annadi
and Mr. Nikhil, Advs. for R-2.
Mr. Anil Bhat, Mr. Vivek P. Gupta
and Mr. Balwant Chaubey, Advs. for
R-7.
Mr. Harvinder Singh and Ms. Deepti
Singh, Advs. for R-6&9.
Mr. K.P. Mavi, Adv. and Mr. Arvind
Kumar Bansal, SSO, ESIC.
Mr. Anil Kumar Gupta, Adv. for R-
11.

30

WITH

+ W.P.(C) 6111/2011 & CM APPL. 4017/2019
DELHI MEDICAL ASSOCIATION(REGD.)Petitioner

Through: Mr. Thakur Sumit and Mr. Gaurav
Rathor, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Ms. Anubha Bhardwaj, CGSC with
Ms. Anchal Kashyap, Adv. for R-1.
Mr. K.P. Mavi, Adv. and Mr. Arvind
Kumar Bansal, SSO, ESIC.

31

WITH

+ W.P.(C) 9008/2011
ST.STEPHENS' HOSPITALPetitioner

Through: Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Sr. Adv. with
Ms. Shruti Sharma, Mr. Nishant



Kandpal and Ms. Arunima Singh,
Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Anubha Bhardwaj, CGSC with
Ms. Anchal Kashyap, Adv. for R-1.
Mr. K.P. Mavi, Adv. and Mr. Arvind
Kumar Bansal, SSO, ESIC.

32

WITH

+

W.P.(C) 1246/2012

ACTION CANCER HOSPITAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ram Prakash Pahwa and Ms.
Soniya Sethi, Advs.

versus

UOI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Anubha Bhardwaj, CGSC with
Ms. Anchal Kashyap, Adv. for R-1.
Mr. K.P. Mavi, Adv. and Mr. Arvind
Kumar Bansal, SSO, ESIC.

33

WITH

+

W.P.(C) 562/2012 & CM APPL. 11461/2013

HOLY FAMILY HOSPITAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Sr. Adv. with
Ms. Shruti Sharma, Mr. Nishant
Kandpal and Ms. Arunima Singh,
Advs.

versus

UOI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Anubha Bhardwaj, CGSC with
Ms. Anchal Kashyap, Adv. for R-1.
Mr. K.P. Mavi, Adv. and Mr. Arvind
Kumar Bansal, SSO, ESIC.

34

WITH

+

W.P.(C) 886/2012

SRI BALAJI ACTION MEDICAL INSTITUTE

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ram Prakash Pahwa and Ms.
Soniya Sethi, Advs.

versus



UOI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Anubha Bhardwaj, CGSC with
Ms. Anchal Kashyap, Adv. for R-1.
Mr. K.P. Mavi, Adv. and Mr. Arvind
Kumar Bansal, SSO, ESIC.

35

WITH

+

W.P.(C) 7216/2013

RURAL MEDICARE SOCIETY

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Maneesha Dhir, Ms. Shambhavi,
Mr. Abhigyan and Ms. Vidhi Kapoor,
Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Anubha Bhardwaj, CGSC with
Ms. Anchal Kashyap, Adv. for R-1.
Mr. K.P. Mavi, Adv. and Mr. Arvind
Kumar Bansal, SSO, ESIC.

36

AND

+

W.P.(C) 7333/2013

M/S MAHARAJA AGRASEN HOSPITAL

CHARITABLE TRUST

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Harvinder Singh and Ms. Deepti
Singh, Advs.

versus

GOVERNEMENT OF INDIA & ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Anubha Bhardwaj, CGSC with
Ms. Anchal Kashyap, Adv. for R-1.
Mr. K.P. Mavi, Adv. and Mr. Arvind
Kumar Bansal, SSO, ESIC.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHAIL JAIN

ORDER

10.03.2026

%

1. *Vide* order dated 1st May, 2017, in W.P.(C) 4572/2011 the Saroj Hospital was added as Respondent No. 12, whereas earlier there were only



ten Respondents. Accordingly, Saroj Hospital shall be considered as Respondent No. 11

2. *Vide* order dated 5th February, 2024, in W.P.(C) 4572/2011, it was directed that W.P.(C) 4572/2011 and W.P.(C) 6111/2011 shall be treated as the lead matters in the batch of connected petitions.

3. Learned Counsels for all the parties are present, except for the Petitioner in W.P.(C) 4572/2011.

4. Considering the fact that the issue involved in all these connected matters is the same and pertains to the notification issued by the Central Government affecting both the parties in all the writ petitions, it is considered appropriate that, on behalf of the hospitals, the learned counsels may amongst themselves decide one or two counsels who shall advance arguments on behalf of all the hospitals.

5. The Union shall advance its submissions independently.

6. In W.P.(C) 7333/2013, learned counsel for the Union of India seeks time to file the Counter Affidavit. Let the same be filed within two weeks with an advance copy to the other side.

7. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter.

8. Written Submissions of the parties, along with a compilation of judgments, be filed within four weeks, if not already filed.

9. List on 19th May, 2026.

SHAIL JAIN, J

MARCH 10, 2026/kp/rm