



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 916/2008

JUBER KHAN @ RASHI S/O SAGIR KHANAppellant

Through: None.

versus

STATERespondent

Through: Mr. Utkarsh, APP for the State with
SI Manish Kumar, PS Paharganj

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

11.12.2024

1. None appears on behalf of the appellant when the matter is called out.
2. Previous orders shows that there has been no appearance on behalf of the appellant even on earlier occasions.
3. This Court *vide* order dated 12.07.2023 had directed the learned trial court to procure the appearance of the appellant through coercive process and he be produced before this Court. It seems that the presence of the appellant could not be procured by the trial court.
4. In view of the above, issue NBWs against the appellant to be executed through the IO concerned.
5. Order dated 24.04.2024 also reveals that there was appearance on behalf of the appellant's surety. However, today none is present on behalf of the surety despite surety having appeared through counsel on 20.12.2023.
6. In view of the above, issueailable warrants to the surety in the sum



of Rs. 10,000/- returnable on 12.03.2025.

DECEMBER 11, 2024
'rs'

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J