



\$~28

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 2941/2017 & CM APPL. 30835/2020**

SHUB NARAYAN SAH & ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Aditya Aggarwal and Mr. Naveen
Panwar, Advocates.

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Abhinav Sharma, Advocate for
R-1.
Ms. Arunima Dwivedi, ASC for
MCD.
Ms. Aakriti Garg for Mr. Parvinder
Chauhan, Advocates for DUSIB.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

22.11.2024

%

1. This Court on 17.01.2020 passed directions to the Respondents to examine the documents placed on record and as to whether they are entitled for rehabilitation.
2. Learned Counsel for the Respondents submit that these documents were examined and that the Affidavit in terms of compliance was filed. He submits that after examining the documents on record, they found that the Petitioner was not eligible for grant of prayers in the present Petition.
3. Learned Counsel for the Petitioners requests for some time to place on record the judgments in support of his contentions that the prayers in the present Petition can be granted.



4. The parties seek and are granted time to file their respective written synopsis, not exceeding three pages each, at least one week before the next date of hearing, along with the compilation of judgments, if any, they wish to rely upon.

4.1 All judgments sought to be relied upon shall be filed with an index which also sets out the relevant paragraph numbers and the proposition of law that it sets forth.

5. List on 17.02.2025.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

NOVEMBER 22, 2024/pa

Click here to check corrigendum, if any