



\$~28 to 40

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **LPA 72/2015 & CM APPLs. 2627/2015, 13983/2017**

GAUTAM KHAITAN & ANRAppellants
Through: Mr. Aditya Ghadge, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANRRespondents
Through: Mr. Zoheb Hossain, Spl. Counsel for
ED with Mr. Vivek Gurani, Mr. Kartik
Satharwal and Mr. Pranjal Tripathi,
Advs.
Mr. Himanshu Pathak & Mr. Amit
Singh, Advs.

29

+ **W.P.(C) 8984/2015**
REKHA NAMBIARPetitioner
Through: Mr. Vineet Tayal, Adv.

versus

DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT & ORSRespondents
Through: Mr. Anupam S. Sharma, Special
Counsel for ED.

30

+ **W.P.(C) 11361/2015 & CM APPL. 29836/2015**
NARESH BANSAL AND ORSPetitioner
Through: Dr. Sushil Kumar Gupta, Ms. Sunita
Gupta and Mr. Sakshit Bhardwaj,
Advs.

versus

ADJUDICATING AUTHORITY AND ANRRespondent
Through: Mr. Ripu Daman Bhardwaj, CGSC
with Mr. Kushagra Kumar Adv. for



UOI.

31

+

W.P.(C) 12261/2015 & CM APPL. 32473/2015
MUKESH KUMAR & ANRPetitioners
Through: Mr. Gurpreet Singh and Mr. Ali Kazi,
Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORSRespondents
Through: Mr. Ravi Prakash, Sr. Adv. with Mr.
Vivek Gurnani & Mr. Kanishk
Maurya, Advs. for ED.

32

+

W.P.(C) 12274/2015 & CM APPL. 32501/2015
UMESH BHAI CHAUTALIYA & ORSPetitioners
Through: Mr. Reshul Mittra, Adv. for Mr.
Parmatma Singh, Adv.

versus

**ADJUDICATING AUTHORITY (UNDER THE PREVENTION OF
MONEY LAUNDERING ACT) & ANR**Respondents
Through: Mr. Ravi Prakash, Sr. Adv. with Mr.
Vivek Gurnani & Mr. Kanishk
Maurya, Advs. for ED.

33

+

W.P.(C) 68/2016 & CM APPLs. 389/2016, 16156/2016
GHANSHYAMBHAI B. PATELPetitioner
Through: Mr. Reshul Mittra, Adv. for Mr.
Parmatma Singh, Adv.

versus

ADJUDICATING AUTHORITY & ANRRespondents
Through: Appearance not given.



34

+

W.P.(C) 78/2016 & CM APPLs. 418/2016, 16157/2016
HARSHAD MALAPetitioner

Through: Mr. Reshul Mittra, Adv. for Mr.
Parmatma Singh, Adv.

versus

ADJUDICATING AUTHORITY & ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Ravi Prakash, Sr. Adv. with Mr.
Vivek Gurnani & Mr. Kanishk
Maurya, Advs. for ED.

35

+

W.P.(C) 1940/2016 & CM APPLs. 8333/2016, 20231/2016
GURUCHARAN SINGH & ANRPetitioner

Through: Appearance not given.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORSRespondents

Through: Mr. Rahul Tyagi, Standing Counsel
for ED with Mr. Mathew M. Philip,
Mr. Sangeet Sibou and Mr. Aniket
Kumar Singh, Advs.

36

+

W.P.(C) 2819/2016 & CM APPL. 11885/2016
SANJAY AGGARWALPetitioner

Through: Appearance not given.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORSRespondents

Through: Mr. Ravi Prakash, Sr. Adv. with Mr.
Vivek Gurnani & Mr. Kanishk
Maurya, Advs. for ED.

37

+

W.P.(C) 2832/2016 & CM APPL. 11901/2016
CHANDAN BHATIAPetitioner



Through: Mr. Naveen Malhotra, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Ravi Prakash, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Vivek Gurnani & Mr. Kanishk Maurya, Advs. for ED.

38

+

W.P.(C) 2963/2016 & CM APPL. 12404/2016

KAMAL KALRA & ANR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Handoo and Mr. Aditya Chaudhary, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Ripu Daman Bhardwaj, CGSC with Mr. Kushagra Kumar Adv. for UOI.

39

+

W.P.(C) 4717/2016 & CM APPL. 19748/2016

ROOMA AGARWAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Dr. Sushil Kumar Gupta, Ms. Sunita Gupta and Mr. Sakshit Bhardwaj, Advs.

versus

ADJUDICATING AUTHORITY & ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Ravi Prakash, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Vivek Gurnani & Mr. Kanishk Maurya, Advs. for ED.

40

+

W.P.(C) 4747/2016 & CM APPL. 19811/2016

MANOJ KUMAR AGARWAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Dr. Sushil Kumar Gupta, Ms. Sunita Gupta and Mr. Sakshit Bhardwaj,



Advs.

versus

ADJUDICATING AUTHORITY AND ANRRespondents
Through: Mr. Ravi Prakash, Sr. Adv. with Mr.
Vivek Gurnani & Mr. Kanishk
Maurya, Advs. for ED.

CORAM:
JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH
JUSTICE RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA

ORDER
% 28.03.2025

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. All these matters have been received on transfer by this Bench.
3. **CM APPL. 16157/2016 in W.P.(C) 78/2016** is the application filed by the Petitioner under Section 151 of CPC seeking condonation of delay of 62 days in filing the counter affidavit. For the reasons stated in the application, the delay is condoned. Application is disposed of.
4. These are a bunch of writ petitions challenging the various provisional attachment orders passed by the different Adjudicating Authorities under Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002. **LPA 72/2015**, challenges the Single Judge's order dated 4th February, 2015 which refused to interfere with the provisional attachment passed by the Adjudicating Authority.
5. Ld. Counsel for the Enforcement Directorate submits that the provisional attachment has now been confirmed, however, the same is not being given effect to by virtue of the interim stay granted in favour of the Petitioners/Appellant *vide* order dated 13th February, 2015.
6. Passover is sought on behalf of the Id. Sr. Counsel-Mr. Ramesh Singh.



7. Considering that there are several Special Benches today, it is not possible to pass over these matters.
8. List these matters for final hearing on 16th July, 2025.

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J

RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA, J

MARCH 28, 2025

dj/Ar.