



\$~SB-1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ O.M.P.(EFA)(COMM.) 6/2016 & EX. APPL. (OS) 1605/2024

DAIICHI SANKYO COMPANY LIMITED Decree Holder
Through: Mr. Arvind K. Nigam, Senior Advocate with Mr. Giriraj Subramaniam, Mr. Nabik Syam, Ms. Samridhi Hota, Mr. Shivam Chanana, Ms. Anindita Barman, Ms. Astha Ahuja, Ms. Shryra Hoon, Mr. Tanmay Arora, Mr. Kunal Chatterji and Mr. Angish Aditya, Advocates.

versus

MALVINDER MOHAN SINGH AND ORS. Judgement Debtors
Through: Mr. Rajiv Nayar, Senior Advocate, Ms. Shyel Trehan, Mr. Gaurav Vutts, Mr. Pranav Sarthi, Ms. Krushi Barfiwala, Mr. Hitesh Jain, Mr. Vignesh Raj, Ms. Manjira Dasgupta, Ms. Shivalika Rubrabatla, Ms. Vidhi Jain, Ms. Apoorva Singh and Ms. Prachi Dhingra, Advocates for applicant One Qube Realtors.
Ms. Devna Sehgal, Advocate for JD-16 and 17.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

%

16.01.2025

EX.APPL.(OS) 181/2024

Mr. Rajiv Nayar, learned senior counsel appearing for the applicant has handed-up a response to supplementary note dated 24.12.2024 filed by the decree-holder.



Mr. Nayar submits that the limited purpose of the response is to clarify that the judgment of the Supreme Court in *Celir LLP vs. Bafna Motors (Mumbai) Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.*,¹ in relation to the concept of *lis pendens* sought to be relied upon by the decree-holder, is distinguishable and not applicable to the facts of the present case.

The court has also heard Mr. Nayar on the above aspect.

Mr. Arvind K. Nigam, learned senior counsel appearing for the decree-holder has refuted the submissions made by Mr. Nayar.

For further clarification, if any, re-notify on 18th February 2025.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

JANUARY 16, 2025

V.Rawat

¹ 2023 INSC 838