



\$~26

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.A. 960/2018**

GULSHAN KUMAR

.....Appellant

Through:Mr. Aakash Kumar, Proxy counsel for
Mr. Vikas Podora, Advocate.

versus

STATE

.....Respondent

Through: Ms.Shubhi Gupta, APP for State

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

11.12.2025

**CRL.M.A. 4268/2021 (exemption) and CRL.M.(BAIL) 289/2021
(suspension of sentence)**

1. Learned counsel for the appellant seeks leave to withdraw the present applications having been infructuous as the appellant has already been released vide order dated 04.06.2021.

2. Leave, as prayed for, is granted. The applications are dismissed as withdrawn.

CRL.A. 960/2018

1. Learned counsel for the appellants seeks some time to obtain a copy of the TCR and address the submissions on the next date of hearing.

2. Considering that the offence pertains to Section 4 of POCSO Act, the I.O will inform the victim of the next date of hearing and shall also inform the complainant about her right to free legal aid/representation in accordance with the decision of the Supreme Court in Jagjeet Singh v. Ashish Mishra, reported as **(2022) 9 SCC 321** and if required, shall assist the prosecutrix in



approaching the Member Secretary, Delhi High Court Legal Services Committee who may appoint a panel counsel, if so requested. IO shall also supply copy of the appeal paper book to the prosecutrix as well as to the counsel, if so appointed.

3. List on 03.02.2026.

4. Let a report be requisitioned from the Member Secretary, Delhi State Legal Services Authority, Rouse Avenue Courts Complex, New Delhi on the aspect of disbursal of compensation and receipt thereof by the victim. The IO is directed to facilitate the victim in approaching the Member Secretary, DSLSA and ensure that subject to completion of formalities, the awarded amount be released to the victim at the earliest, if not already done.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

DECEMBER 11, 2025

na