



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(OS) 253/2016**
SH SUBODH JAIN Plaintiff
Through **Mr. Yeeshu Jain & Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,**
Adv.

versus
SH AJAY JAIN & ORS Defendant
Through **Ms. Akanksha Bhatia, Adv. For**
D-1 to 3
Mr. Ranjit Khatri & Ms. Sonam
Bharti, Adv. For D-4 to 6

CORAM:

SHARAD GUPTA (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

ORDER

% 16.10.2018

CS(OS) 253/2016

Previous cost paid.

Pleadings in the suit are complete qua defendant no.1 to 3 and affidavit of admission/denial already filed by plaintiff.

Learned counsel for defendant no.1 to 3 submits that she has not received copy of the replication. Learned counsel for plaintiff undertakes to supply the same during the course of day against due acknowledgement.

As per office note, replication filed to written statement of defendant no.4 to 6 is lying under objection. Learned counsel for plaintiff undertakes to check up with registry and get the same placed on record after removing objections. It is submitted that the replication was filed beyond the period of two weeks granted vide previous order.

Contd....2



-2-

Re-notify the matter for completion of pleadings and admission/denial of documents on 30.11.2018.

SHARAD GUPTA (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

OCTOBER 16, 2018/ab