



\$~16

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RC.REV. 278/2018**

ANKUR KUMAR & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Counsel for petitioner (appearance  
not given).

versus

GINNI VERMA & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr Harpreet Singh, Mr Rajesh Gupta,  
Mr S.P. Gupta and Mr Utsav Kr.  
Gupta, Advs.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA**

**ORDER**

%

**04.03.2024**

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

**CM APPL. 1411/2021 (modification of order dated 01.06.2018)**

1. Since order dated 01.06.2018 for use and occupation charges was a consent order, learned counsel for petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this application. The application is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn.

**CM APPL. 24506/2018 (stay)**

2. Keeping in mind that the petitioner/tenant is being granted protection from operation of the impugned order since 01.06.2018 on date to date basis and the petitioner/tenant has been regularly paying use and occupation charges, this application is allowed and accordingly till disposal of the revision petition, operation of the impugned eviction order is stayed. However, it is made clear that any default on the part of petitioner/tenant in payment of use and occupation charges as fixed on 01.06.2018 shall entail



vacation of the interim stay on operation of the impugned eviction order.

**CM APPL. 7675/2020 (subsequent events) & CM APPL. 7260/2020 (subsequent events) & CM APPL. 24507/2018 (additional evidence)**

3. Due to paucity of time, relist the matter for arguments on 12.07.2024.

**GIRISH KATHPALIA, J**

**MARCH 4, 2024/ry**

*Click here to check corrigendum, if any*