



\$~27

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.REV.P. 609/2014

VINAY KANODIA Petitioner

Through Mr. Pawan Yadav, Advocate

versus

DIRECORATE GENERAL OF CENTRAL EXCISE
INTELLIGENCE Respondent

Through Mr. Satish Aggarwala, Senior
Standing Counsel with Mr. Gagan
Vaswani, Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BRIJESH SETHI

ORDER

% **14.02.2020**

CRL.M.A. 3349/2020

The present application has been filed by respondents for permission to take additional ground in their reply, which is as under:-

(i) one month's written notice as laid down by Section 40 of the Central Excise Act, 1944 has not been given to respondent and, thus, it is a case of undisputed violation of Section 40 of the Central Excise, 1944.

Notice.

Mr. Pawan Yadav, learned counsel for the petitioner accepts notice and submits that he has not received the copy of the application.

Let copy of the present application be supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner today itself.



2026:DHC:4593

Let reply be filed within a period of six weeks from today with an advance copy to learned counsel for respondent, who may file rejoinder thereto, if any, within a period of four weeks thereafter.

List on 3rd September, 2020, the date already fixed.

BRIJESH SETHI, J

FEBRUARY 14, 2020

savita