



\$~23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 3696/2018**

NITIN RANA & ORS

..... Petitioners

Through: Petitioner No.1 in person.
Counsel through VC.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Ritesh Kumar Bahri, APP for the
State with SI Gautam Sagar, PS K.N.
Katju Marg.
Mr. Gautav Sharma, Adv./DHCLSC
for R-2 alongwith R-2, through VC.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

%

27.03.2023

CRL.M.C. 3696/2018

1. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 states that there are some issues relating to the purported settlement arrived at between the parties. Respondent No.2 is also present in person and states that the settlement does not include the maintenance for the child, who is a 12 years old, and that may have to be taken into account.
2. Accordingly, list on 16.05.2023.
3. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

MARCH 27, 2023/kct