



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.A. 629/2018**

JAVED

..... Appellant

Through: Ms.Vagisha Kochar, Advocate.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Mr.Hirein Sharma, APP for State

CORAM:

JUSTICE S.MURALIDHAR

JUSTICE VINOD GOEL

ORDER

% **27.07.2018**

Crl.M. (Bail) 951/2018 (suspension of sentence)

1. The Court has heard the learned counsel for the parties.
2. Prima facie, it appears to the court that the evidence qua Zahida Begum, the acquitted accused, is not different as qua the present Appellant. It appears that the trial court, however, has not chosen to extend the benefit of doubt to the Appellant.
3. The Appellant has already served 6 years of his incarceration. He has no previous criminal record and his conduct in jail is also satisfactory.
4. Since the co-accused are yet to file an appeal, there is no prospect of the present appeal being heard at an early date. In the circumstances, the sentence of the Appellant is suspended during the pendency of this appeal



subject to his furnishing bail bond in the sum of Rs.5,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial court.

5. The application is disposed of.

6. Order *dasti* under the signatures of Court Master.

Crl.M.(Bail) 1173/2018 (interim suspension of sentence)

7. In view of the above order, this application is rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

CRL.A. 629/2018

8. List in due course.

S. MURALIDHAR, J.

VINOD GOEL, J.

JULY 27, 2018

"shailendra"