



\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **MAC.APP. 855/2013**

IFFCO TOKIO GENERAL INSURANCE CO. LTDAppellant

Through: **Mr. Shruti Jain, Advocate.**

versus

MOHD. IRSHAD & ORSRespondents

Through: **Mr. Sanjay Baniwal, Ms. Manisha,
Mr. R.K. Seewal, Advocate for R-3.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

12.01.2026

%

1. *Mr. Sanjay Baniwal*, counsel for respondent no.3, states that he is not ready to argue the matter today.
2. Though the matter is of 2013, there is no reason why counsel is not ready in the matter, particularly when he appeared on the last two dates as well, and the LCR is also on record.
3. *Mr. Beniwal* states that he has been unable to get the record from his client.
4. Needless to state it was counsel's duty to inspect the record and appear before the Court well prepared in the matter.
5. Both parties shall file their note of arguments not exceeding *three pages*, synopsisizing their contentions along with *list of citations* which they wish to rely upon, *cross-referencing the PDF pages* of the Court File. The same will be exchanged *inter se* counsels at least three days prior to the next



date of hearing.

6. Re-notify on 21st February 2026.
7. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

JANUARY 12, 2026/ak/tk