



\$~9&11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 3137/2011

SUNDER LAL JAIN Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Navdeep Jain, Adv.

Versus

PREM CHAND JAIN & ANR Defendants

Through: Mr. Abinash K. Mishra, Adv. for D-1.

AND

CS(OS) 2038/2012, IA Nos.5320/2016 (u/O XII R-6 CPC), 8554/2016
(u/O XX R-4 CPC) & 8555/2016 (for condonation of 15 days delay)

SUNDER LAL JAIN Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Navdeep Jain, Adv.

Versus

PREM CHAND JAIN & ORS Defendants

Through: Mr. Abinash K. Mishra, Adv. for D-1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER

% **22.03.2018**

1. Both the suits are stated to be ripe for framing of issues.
2. However, Mr. Navdeep Jain, Advocate who appears for the plaintiff is totally clueless.
3. It is found that both suits are for partition of the same immovable property.
4. Mr. Navdeep Jain, Advocate for the plaintiff is unable to answer any query and after some time states that Mr. Nikhlesh Ramchandran is the Advocate for the plaintiff and he is not available.
5. The plaintiff cannot be permitted to consume the time of the Court in this fashion and by multiplying litigation.
6. Subject to the plaintiff paying costs of Rs.25,000/- to the counsel for the defendants no.1 for today's adjournment, list on 26th April, 2018. If the costs are not paid, the suits shall be dismissed for non-prosecution.

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J

MARCH 22, 2018/‘gsr’..