

\$~46

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **MAT.APP.(F.C.) 135/2018**

YOGITA Appellant
Through Mr. R.N. Sharma, Advocate.

versus

SUKHBIR SINGH @ SONU Respondent
Through Mr. Puneet Mittal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Vikas Sharma, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ASHA MENON

ORDER

%

08.07.2019

1. On 22.05.2019 the matter was directed to be placed in the category of 'Directions' for the parties to report as to whether the respondent had made payment of the arrears of maintenance to the appellant.

2. On the first call, proxy counsel had appeared on behalf of the respondent and stated that the arguing counsel, Mr. Vivek Sharma is not in town. In response, learned counsel for the appellant, Sh. R.N Sharma, had asserted that he had met Mr. Vikas Sharma, Advocate in the Court complex during the pre-lunch session. In other words, he submits that a mis-statement is being made by the proxy counsel for the respondent. All the same, the matter was passed over at the request of the proxy counsel for the respondent.

3. On pass over, counsel for the respondent is not present, nor have the arrears of maintenance been tendered to the other side.
4. In the interest of justice, renotify on 28.08.2019 with a direction that the respondent shall remain present on the said date.

HIMA KOHLI, J

ASHA MENON, J

JULY 08, 2019/ rkc