



\$~22 to 29

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 888/2012

NAVIN BUDHIRAJA @BABBU

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Raghvendra Mohan Bajaj & Ms.
Shagun Agarwal, Adv.

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONRespondent

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar, SPP-CBI with
Mr. Changez Khan, Mr. Siddharth
Shekhar, Advs.
Mr. Aditya Raj, Adv for complainant.

23

+ CRL.A. 986/2012

AVDHESH

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. SP Kaushal, Adv.

versus

CBI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar, SPP-CBI with
Mr. Changez Khan, Mr. Siddharth
Shekhar, Advs.
Mr. Aditya Raj, Adv for complainant.

24

+ CRL.A. 987/2012

AVTAR SINGH AHLUWALIA

.....Appellant

Through:

versus

CBI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar, SPP-CBI with
Mr. Changez Khan, Mr. Siddharth
Shekhar, Advs..
Mr. Aditya Raj, Adv for complainant.



- 25
+ CRL.A. 989/2012
INDERMANIAppellant
Through: Mr. SP Kaushal, Adv.
versus
- CBIRespondent
Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar, SPP-CBI with
Mr. Changez Khan, Mr. Siddharth
Shekhar, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Raj, Adv for complainant.
- 26
+ CRL.A. 1017/2012
MANOJAppellant
Through: Mr. Jaswinder Singh Nischal, Adv.
versus
- CBIRespondent
Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar, SPP-CBI with
Mr. Changez Khan, Mr. Siddharth
Shekhar, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Raj, Adv for complainant.
- 27
+ CRL.A. 1077/2012
LAXMANAppellant
Through: Mr. Jaswinder Singh Nischal, Adv.
versus
- STATE THR. CBIRespondent
Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar, SPP-CBI with
Mr. Changez Khan, Mr. Siddharth
Shekhar, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Raj, Adv for complainant.
- 28
+ CRL.A. 1108/2012 & CRL.M.A. 5221/2017
ROMESH SHARMAAppellant



Through: Mr. Rakesh Tiku, Sr. Adv with Mr.
M. Kumar, Mr. Arpan Wadhwa,
Advs.

versus

STATE THR. CBI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar, SPP-CBI with
Mr. Changez Khan, Mr. Siddharth
Shekhar, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Raj, Adv for complainant.

29

+

CRL.A. 1109/2012
HARISH MISHRA

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Rakesh Tiku, Sr. Adv with Mr.
M. Kumar, Mr. Arpan Wadhwa,
Advs.

versus

STATE THR.C.B.I.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar, SPP-CBI with
Mr. Changez Khan, Mr. Siddharth
Shekhar, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Raj, Adv for complainant.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIMAL KUMAR YADAV

ORDER

16.01.2026

%

1. Hybrid Mode.

2. It is informed that there was some mix up in the Trial Court Record, which now stands clarified as per the information provided by the learned counsel for the complainant. Although the Court has not received any record from Trial Court, nevertheless what has emerged from the submissions made by the parties is to the effect that the Trial Court Record is correctly available and would be transmitted in due course.



3. Subject to the record being filed, the counsels for the Appellants who too demand the same, may be provided soft copy of the same by Registry.
4. Trial Court Record be requisitioned afresh.
5. List on 26.02.2026.

VIMAL KUMAR YADAV, J

JANUARY 16, 2026/hk