



\$~23 to 30

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 888/2012

NAVIN BUDHIRAJA @BABBUAppellant

Through: Mr. Zeeshan Ahmed, Adv.

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONRespondent

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar, SPP for CBI with
Mr. Changez Khan, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Raj and Mr. Vasu Bansal,
Advs. for the Complainant.

24

+ CRL.A. 1017/2012

MANOJAppellant

Through: Ms.Jaswinder Singh Nishchal, Adv.
(through VC).

versus

CBIRespondent

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar, SPP for CBI with
Mr. Changez Khan, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Raj and Mr. Vasu Bansal,
Advs. for the Complainant.

25

+ CRL.A. 1077/2012

LAXMANAppellant

Through: Ms.Jaswinder Singh Nishchal, Adv.
(through VC).

versus

STATE THR. CBIRespondent

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar, SPP for CBI with
Mr. Changez Khan, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Raj and Mr. Vasu Bansal,



Advs. for the Complainant.

26

+ CRL.A. 1108/2012, CRL.M.A. 5221/2017

ROMESH SHARMA

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. S.P.Kaushal, Adv. Proxy Counsel
for Mr. Rakesh Tikku, Adv.

versus

STATE THR. CBI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar, SPP for CBI with
Mr. Changez Khan, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Raj and Mr. Vasu Bansal,
Advs. for the Complainant.

27

+ CRL.A. 1109/2012

HARISH MISHRA

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. S.P.Kaushal, Adv. Proxy Counsel
for Mr. Rakesh Tikku, Adv.

versus

STATE THR.C.B.I.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar, SPP for CBI with
Mr. Changez Khan, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Raj and Mr. Vasu Bansal,
Advs. for the Complainant.

28

+ CRL.A. 986/2012

AVDHESH

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. S.P. Kaushal, Mr. Saksham
Kalra and Mr. Hardik Aditya, Advs.

versus

CBI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar, SPP for CBI with
Mr. Changez Khan, Adv.



Mr. Aditya Raj and Mr. Vasu Bansal,
Advs. for the Complainant.

29

+ CRL.A. 987/2012

AVTAR SINGH AHLUWALIA

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. S.P. Kaushal, Mr. Saksham
Kalra and Mr. Hardik Aditya, Advs.

versus

CBI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar, SPP for CBI with
Mr. Changez Khan, Adv.

30

+ CRL.A. 989/2012

INDERMANI

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. S.P. Kaushal, Mr. Saksham
Kalra and Mr. Hardik Aditya, Advs.

versus

CBI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar, SPP for CBI with
Mr. Changez Khan, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIMAL KUMAR YADAV

ORDER

%

29.07.2026

1. Hybrid mode.

CRL.M.A. 5221/2017 in CRL.A. 1108/2012 (for release of amount)

2. Let this application be listed for arguments / disposal on the next date of hearing i.e. 09.09.2026. Reply if any, be filed with an advance copy to the parties concerned, under acknowledgement.



CRL.A. 888/2012, CRL.A. 1017/2012, CRL.A. 1077/2012, CRL.A. 1109/2012, CRL.A. 986/2012, CRL.A. 987/2012, CRL.A. 989/2012.

3. Learned Counsel(s) for the Appellant(s) as well as SPP for CBI have expressed that the bookmarked e-TCR provided to them by the Registry, is not properly bookmarked.
4. Whereas, it is submitted by learned counsel for the Complainant that he got the entire trial court record bookmarked on his own and is ready to share the copy thereof, to all the contesting sides.
5. The parties are free to avail the opportunity offered by learned counsel for the Complainant.
6. Registry, however, is called upon to ensure that trial court record in a proper bookmarked and paginated manner (complete in all respect) be made available to all concerned, within a period of one month from today.
7. Properly bookmarked e-TCR be also placed on court record as well, prior to next date.
8. List on 09.09.2026.

VIMAL KUMAR YADAV, J

JULY 29, 2026/bj