



\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 3904/2013, CRL.M.A. 19506/2013 (for accepting summons in appeal) and CRL.M.A.14013/2013 (for stay)
CHANDAN RAMAMURTHI Petitioner

Through: Shri Vikas Pahuja, Senior Advocate
with Shri K. K.Tiwari and Ms.
Namisha Jain, Advocates.

Versus

KAILASH AGGARWAL & ORS Respondents

Through: Shri Karan Babuta, proxy counsel for
R-1&2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% **22.07.2022**

1. Heard, Shri Vikas Pahuja, learned senior counsel on behalf of the petitioner assisted by Shri K. K.Tiwari; and Shri Karan Babuta, learned proxy counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 and 2.
2. Learned proxy counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 and 2 submits that his senior is not well, therefore, he prays for a short adjournment.
3. The learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, on the other hand, submits that the order of summoning by the Magistrate has been interfered with by the revisional court while exceeding to its jurisdiction.
4. He submits that the matter is pending since 2013 and, therefore, it would be appropriate if the same can be heard on an early date. The submission appears to be reasonable.



5. Let the matter to come up for hearing on 07.09.2022 with an understanding that on the said date, the matter would be heard finally.
6. Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Shri Karan Babuta, proxy counsel for respondent Nos.1 and 2 undertake to submit their written synopsis along with relevant judgements to be relied upon by them, one week before the next date of hearing.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

JULY 22, 2022/p

Click here to check corrigendum, if any