



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA 550/2018

JIA LAL BAJAJ

..... Appellant

Through Ms. Anju Lal, Advocate

versus

KEWAL KRISHAN MANCHANDA & ORS Respondents

Through Mr. Nikhil Mittal, Advocate for
R-1 & 2

Ms. Ashna Talwar, Advocate for R-3

Mr. Shubham Raj, Advocate for R-4

CORAM:

SH. PARVEEN KUMAR UPPAL, REGISTRAR

ORDER

%

11.04.2019

CM APPL. 28081/2018 (u/O XLI Rule 5 (1) r/w Section 151 CPC)
& CM APPL. 28082/2018 (u/O XLI Rule 27 r/w Section 151 CPC)

Reply filed on behalf of respondent nos. 1 and 2 is already on record.

Counsel for respondent no. 3 states that reply to the main appeal has been filed, however, the same is not on record. Let her check with the Registry and get the same placed on record.

Counsel for respondent no. 3 seeks further time to file reply to these applications.

Let the same be filed within four weeks with advance copy to the opposite party who shall be at liberty to file the rejoinder, if any, before the next date of hearing.



Counsel for respondent no. 4 has already stated that he does not wish to file reply to these applications.

CM APPL. 2066/2019 (cross objections filed by respondent no. 4)

These are the cross objections filed by respondent no. 4.

Counsel for the appellant stated that she does not wish to file reply to this application.

List on 20.05.2019.

REGISTRAR

APRIL 11, 2019/sk