



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA 550/2018 and CM APPLs.28081/2018 (Stay) and 28082/2018
(for addl. evidence)

JIA LAL BAJAJ

..... Appellant

Through: Ms. Anju Lal and Ms. Shalu Lal,
Adv.

versus

KEWAL KRISHAN MANCHANDA & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Akriti Jai, Adv. for R-1 and 2.
Ms. Rytim Vohra, Adv. for R-3.
Mr. Shubham Raj, proxy counsel for
R-4.

CORAM:

SH. P.K. UPPAL, REGISTRAR

ORDER

%

29.11.2018

Service already complete.

Counsel for the respondent nos.1 and 2 states that reply to the applications has already been filed vide diary no.478414 dated 28.11.2018. The same, however, is not on record. Counsel to check with the registry and have the same placed on record as also to supply a copy of the same to counsel for appellant.

Counsel for respondent nos.3 and 4 seeks some time to file reply to the applications. Let the same be filed within four weeks with an advance copy to counsel for appellant who may file rejoinder thereto by the next date of hearing.

Put up on **16.01.2019**.

REGISTRAR

NOVEMBER 29, 2018/cl