



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 6158/2010**

POPAT LAL

.....Petitioner

Through: **Ms.Vidhi Kapoor, Advocate**

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

.....Respondent

Through: **Mr.Vikram Jetly, CGSC with
Ms.Shreya Jetly, Advocate**

CORAM: SH. PAWAN KUMAR KALRA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

% **18.11.2025**

Today's Court proceedings are conducted through hybrid mode.

**CM APPL. 9367/2025 (u/O 22 Rule 3 CPC) & CM APPL. 9368/2025
(condonation of delay)**

Reply filed by the respondents is reported to be lying under objection as the same has been filed beyond time. Counsel for the petitioner does not object to the delay in filing the reply. Let counsel check with the registry and get the reply placed on record after removing the objections within two days with advance copy to counsel for the applicant. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed before the next date of hearing. Registry is directed not to raise any objection with regard to delay in filing reply by the respondents.

W.P.(C) 6158/2010

Brief note stands filed on behalf of the respondents.

Let brief note be filed by the petitioner before the next date of hearing.

List on 24.11.2025.

REGISTRAR

NOVEMBER 18, 2025/sk