



\$~28

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **TEST.CAS. 85/2011**

JATINDER NATH BAJAJ

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. S.N. Choudhari and Mr. Shruti
Choudhary, Advs.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Aakariti Vohra, Adv. for R-2
Ms. Alka Chojar, Adv. for R-3

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV SACHDEVA

ORDER

% **18.07.2017**

I.A. 7898/2017

By this application, the respondent no. 3 seeks rejection of the affidavit of PW2 on the ground that some of the contents of the affidavit are beyond the pleadings, beyond the issues framed, and are not relevant for the decision on the issues framed in the petition.

Issue notice. Notice is accepted by learned counsel for the petitioner who submits that respondent no. 3 has not specified as to which portion of the affidavit is being objected to.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent no. 3 submits that he shall file an affidavit indicating the portions of the affidavit of PW2 to which there is an objection. Let the affidavit be filed by respondent no. 3 within two weeks.



Let reply to the application along with response to the additional affidavit to be filed by respondent no. 3, be filed by the petitioner within four weeks thereafter.

Renotify on 07.11.2017.

SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J

JULY 18, 2017
'rs'