



\$~20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **TEST.CAS. 85/2011**

JATINDER NATH BAJAJ

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rakesh Kumar with Mr. Sunil,
Advs.

versus

STATE

.....Respondent

Through: None for R-1.

Mr. Arun Vohra with Ms. Meenu
Yadav, Advs. for R-2 (Mob. No.
9811089104).

Mr. Mohit Gupta, Ms. Aayushi
Jain & Ms. Deepshikha Bansal,
Advs. for R-3.

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. DEVENDER
KUMAR GARG (DHJS)**

ORDER

15.05.2025

%

Proceedings are being conducted through hybrid mode.

Time of commence of proceedings : 01:06 p.m.
Time of commence of recording of : 01:11 p.m.
evidence
Time of conclusion of evidence : 01:31 p.m.
Time of conclusion of proceedings : 01:36 p.m.
No. of pages of evidence recorded : 03 pages

**IA No. 20239/2023 (Objections filed on behalf of R-3 to
the list of witnesses filed by the petitioner)**

1. At joint request, re-notify the captioned IA for arguments
on next date of hearing.

TEST.CAS. 85/2011

2. The matter is at the stage of further cross-examination of



PW-2 by respondent

3. As per office noting, nothing fresh has been filed.
4. Ld. Counsels for parties appearing today have submitted that in the examination-in-chief of PW-2 Mr. Jatinder Nath Bajaj, the said witness tendered his evidence by way of affidavit, on which exhibit mark as Ex.PW1/B was given, however, in his examination-in-chief, the same was mentioned as 'Ex.PW1/A' instead of 'Ex.PW1/B'. They have further submitted that PW-2 had also exhibited 62 documents in his examination-in-chief and exhibit marks as Ex.PW2/1 to Ex.PW2/62 were given, however, in his examination-in-chief, the same were mentioned as 'Ex.PW1/1 to Ex.PW1/62' instead of 'Ex.PW2/1 to Ex.PW2/62'. They have requested that correction be made in the examination-in-chief of PW-2 recorded on 17.05.2017.
5. Heard. Record perused. Perusal of evidence affidavit of PW-2 Mr. Jatinder Nath Bajaj would show that the same bears exhibited mark as Ex.PW1/B and perusal of the documents tendered by PW-2 in his evidence would show that the same bear exhibit marks from Ex.PW2/1 to Ex.PW2/62. It appears that due to some inadvertent and typographical mistake in examination-in-chief of PW-2 recorded on 17.05.2017, exhibit mark of his evidence affidavit has been mentioned as 'Ex.PW1/A' instead of 'Ex.PW1/B' and exhibit marks of the documents tendered by PW-2 have been mentioned as 'Ex.PW1/1 to Ex.PW1/62' instead of 'Ex.PW2/1 to Ex.PW2/62'. Hence evidence of PW-2 be read as Ex.PW1/B and documents tendered by PW-2 be read as Ex.PW2/1 to Ex.PW2/62.



The examination-in-chief of PW-2 recorded on 17.5.2017 stands corrected accordingly.

6. PW-2 Mr. Jatinder Nath Bajaj is present today. He has been further partly cross-examined and his further cross-examination is deferred at joint request.
7. Re-notify the present mater for further cross-examination of PW-2 Mr. Jatinder Nath Bajaj by respondent no.3 on **02nd September, 2025.**

**DEVENDER KUMAR GARG (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

MAY 15, 2025/v

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)