



\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **TEST.CAS. 85/2011**
JATINDER NATH BAJAJ

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Rakesh Kumar Mittal, Adv.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Mr.Arun Vohra, Adv. for R-2
Mr.Mohit Gupta, Adv. for R-3

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. PURSHOTAM PATHAK
(DHJS)

ORDER
% **23.03.2022**

Proceedings: 11:30 am to 12:30 pm
Evidence recorded: 11:31 am to 12:29 pm
Pages: 4

PW-2 Mr.Jatinder Nath Bajaj is further cross-examined by learned counsel for respondent no.2 and his remaining cross-examination is deferred for want of documents.

Learned counsel for petitioner submits that in today's deposition the word 'once' at page 2, line 5 was never deposed by the witness PW-2 Mr.Jatinder Nath Bajaj and same may be deleted. Same is opposed by learned counsel for respondent no.2. The evidence was recorded in the open Court, however considering the submissions of learned counsels of parties, the rights of both the parties is reserved to make their submissions at appropriate stage in



this regard.

List of witnesses filed by the petitioner is under objection. Let the learned counsel to check with Registry about the status of list of witnesses, remove the objections if any and to take steps to bring the same on record by next date of hearing.

Put up for remaining cross-examination of PW-2 on 17.05.2022.

MARCH 23, 2022/tp

PURSHOTAM PATHAK (DHJS), J

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)