



\$~21, 30 & 31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 2230/2011**

JALAJ GUPTA

..... Plaintiff

Represented by: None.

versus

ANJALI GUPTA & ORS

..... Defendants

Represented by: Mr.Rajeev Saxena, Mr.Apurva Saxena and Mr.Vikramjeet Singh, Advocates for defendant Nos.1 to 4. Mr.Satyender Chahar, Advocate for defendant No.5.

+ **TEST.CAS. 48/2013**

HIMANSHU GUPTA

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr.Satyender Chahar, Advocate.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr.Rajeev Saxena, Mr.Apurv Saxena and Mr.Vikramjeet Singh, Advocates for objectors.

+ **TEST.CAS. 53/2017**

SMT. ANJALI GUPTA

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr.Rajeev Saxena, Mr.Apurv Saxena and Mr.Vikramjeet Singh, Advocates.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr.Satyender Chahar, Advocate for respondent No.2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

CS(OS) 2230/2011, TEST.CAS. 48/2013, TEST.CAS. 53/2017

Page 1 of 2



ORDER
11.12.2019

%

1. Learned proxy counsel on behalf of Anjali Gupta, defendant in CS (OS) No.2230/2011 prays for an adjournment as the arguing counsel is not available, which is not opposed by the learned counsel on the other side.
2. At request, list on 12th February, 2020.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

DECEMBER 11, 2019
‘vn’