



\$~16

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CS(OS) 1780/2010

SMT. DEEKSHA KHULLER

.....Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Avnish Ahlawat & Mr.
Amitoj Chadha, Advs.

Versus

ASHOK CHOPRA & ORS

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Harish Pandey, Mr. Kartik
Yadav, Mr. Manhar Saini & Mr.
Esh Gupta, Advs. For D-1
Mr. Kuljeet Singh & Mr. Prateek
Dhir, Advs. For D-2/DDA
Ms. Ishita Pandey, Adv. For D-3
(joined through VC)

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. PRIYA
MAHENDRA, (DHJS)**

ORDER

% **20.03.2025**

CS(OS) 1780/2010

1. The matter is listed today for cross-examination of DW1 by defendant no.2. However, adjournment is sought by learned counsel for the defendant no.2 on the ground that learned leading counsel for defendant no.2 i.e. Ms. Shobhana Takiar has to leave urgently for a meeting scheduled at 2.30 p.m.

2. At this stage, it is stated by learned counsel for the defendant no.1 that he has received an advance intimation from learned counsel for the defendant no.2 stating that in view of her list, she will not be in a position to appear today in the court to cross-examine the witness and hence,



he has not called the witness today.

3. So, apparently there is a variance between two statements made on behalf of learned counsel for the defendant no.2 for seeking adjournment today. It be noted that the matter is pending disposal since the year 2010 and needs to be disposed of expeditiously. Keeping in view the long pendency of this matter, the counsel for defendant no.2 is reasonably expected to give this matter a priority over other official engagements. However, she is not present today. So, cost of Rs.10,000/- is imposed upon the defendant no.2 for the delay occasioned due to her non-appearance today, to be deposited with Advocate's Welfare Fund.

4. As per office note, e-copy of Evidence by way of Affidavits of three witnesses filed by the defendant no.1 are lying under objections. Learned counsel for the defendant no.1 submits at bar that the copy of the same has already been received by counsel for the defendant no.2. The same is conceded by learned counsel for defendant no.2. Learned counsel for the defendant no.1 is directed to take requisite steps to bring the same on record as per law. The defendant no.1 is directed to file physical copy of said Evidence Affidavits within three weeks.

5. It is stated by learned counsel for the defendant no.1 that he wants to drop the other witnesses as DW/Mr. S. P. Tandon has since expired and DW/Mr. R. K. Aggarwal lacks legal competency to depose due to his medical condition. Allowed.

Re-notify the matter for cross-examination of DW1 by learned counsel for the defendant no.2 on **07.07.2025**.



The shortest date is given as per roster of the under signed as sufficient evidence matters have already been listed in other old matters.

PRIYA MAHENDRA (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

MARCH 20, 2025/ab

Click here to check corrigendum, if any