



\$~1

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CS(COMM) 933/2018

M/S SATYA PARKASH & BROS. PVT. LTD.

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Mayank Bansal, Adv.

versus

EAST DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Tushar Sannu, Standing Counsel
with Ms. Jyoti Vashisht, Adv.

CORAM:

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. JYOTI KLER (DHJS)

ORDER

%

24.02.2022

Court hearing convened via video conferencing on account of Covid-19

1. Neither receipt regarding payment of cost nor application for condonation of delay has been filed.
2. Learned counsel for the defendant submits that the application for condonation of delay has been filed vide diary no.227219/22 on 23/02/2022. It is further submitted that even the cost receipt has been filed. None of these are on record however, hence, defendant may take necessary steps and clarify on the next date.
3. Since no application for condonation of delay has been filed till date, written statement and affidavit of admission/denial filed by the defendant cannot be taken on record.



4. Re-notify the matter for further proceedings on **05th April, 2022**.

FEBRUARY 24, 2022/nk

**JYOTI KLER (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)