



\$~16

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

CNR No. DLHC011310162018

+ CS(COMM) 933/2018

M/S SATYA PARKASH & BROS. PVT. LTD.

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Mayank Bansal, Adv.
(appeared through VC)

versus

EAST DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Tushar Sannu, Standing
Counsel, MCD with Mr. Akshay
Sharma, Adv.

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. SUMIT
DALAL

%

ORDER
04.08.2026

O.A. 175/2025 (under Chapter II Rule 5 OF Delhi High Court (Original Side) Rules, 2018 filed on behalf of the defendant against the order dated 17.04.2025) and

I.A. 25796/2025 (under Section 14 of the Limitation Act filed on behalf of the defendant for condonation of delay of 158 days)

1. As per office note, reply to OA filed on behalf of the plaintiff has been returned under objection. Let appropriate steps be taken to have the same placed on record.
2. Learned counsel for the plaintiff submits that he has also filed reply to IA No.25796/2025. However, the



same has not come on record. Let appropriate steps be taken to have the same placed on record.

3. Let the pleadings be completed as per law.

CS(COMM) 933/2018

4. As per office note, evidence affidavit has been returned under objection. Let appropriate steps be taken to have the same placed on record.

5. Learned counsel for the defendant submits that he has not received copy of the evidence affidavit filed on behalf of the plaintiff. Let copy be supplied within two weeks.

6. List for Plaintiff's Evidence (PE) on **02.12.2026**.

SUMIT DALAL
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
AUGUST 4, 2026/nk