



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 933/2018**

M/S SATYA PARKASH & BROS. PVT. LTD.

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Raj Kamal Singh, Proxy
Adv. (appeared through VC)

versus

EAST DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Tushar Sannu, Standing
Counsel, MCD with Ms. Palak
Gupta Joshi & Mr. Ritik Anmol,
Advs.

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. SUMIT
DALAL**

%

ORDER
24.03.2026

O.A. 175/2025 (under Chapter II Rule 5 OF Delhi High Court (Original Side) Rules, 2018 filed on behalf of the defendant against the order dated 17.04.2025) and

I.A. 25796/2025 (under Section 14 of the Limitation Act filed on behalf of the defendant for condonation of delay of 158 days)

1. No reply has been filed till date. A request for adjournment is made on behalf of learned counsel for the plaintiff on the ground that his mother has expired.
2. In the interest of justice, the request is allowed. A last and final opportunity of four weeks is granted to file the reply, with rejoinder, if any, within two weeks thereafter.



CS(COMM) 933/2018

3. As per office note, the evidence affidavit has not been filed.
4. Considering the aforesaid reason, one more opportunity is granted. Let the evidence affidavit be filed within four weeks from today.
5. List for Plaintiff's Evidence (PE) on 04.08.2026.

**SUMIT DALAL, (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

MARCH 24, 2026/nk