



\$~1

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ **CS(COMM) 933/2018**  
**M/S SATYA PARKASH & BROS. PVT. LTD.**  
.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Mayank Bansal, Adv.  
(appeared through VC)

versus

**EAST DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION**  
.....Defendant  
Through: Mr. Akash Chatterjee, Mr.  
Tushar Sannu & Ms. Shambhavi  
Vatsa, Advs.

**CORAM:**  
**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. MANISH**  
**SHARMA, (DHJS)**

% **ORDER**  
**27.01.2026**

**O.A. 175/2025 (BY DEFENDANT U/C 2 R5 OF DHC**  
**OS RULES AGAINST ORDER DT. 17.04.2025) and**  
**I.A. 25796/2025 (BY DEFENDANT- U/S 14**  
**LIMITATION ACT- FOR CONDONATION OF**  
**DELAY OF 158 DAYS)**

1. Learned counsel for the plaintiff submits that he has not received copy of the appeal filed by the defendant.
2. Learned counsel for the defendant submits that though he has already supplied copy of the appeal, however, without prejudice to his rights, he will supply copy of the same again to learned counsel for the plaintiff.
3. Let pleadings be completed as per law.

**CS(COMM) 933/2018**

4. The matter is listed today for PE.



5. As per office note, evidence by way of Affidavit has not been filed by the plaintiff.
6. Learned counsel for the plaintiff seeks some more time to file evidence by way of affidavit.
7. Let the same be filed before the next date of hearing with copy to the opposite side. Let hard copy of the same be also filed.
8. Re-notify the matter for PE and for further proceedings on **24<sup>th</sup> March,, 2026.**

**MANISH SHARMA, (DHJS)**  
**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**  
**JANUARY 27, 2026/nk**