



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 933/2018**

M/S SATYA PARKASH & BROS. PVT. LTD.

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Raj Kamal Singh, Adv.
(appeared through VC)

versus

EAST DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION

..... Defendant

Through: Mr. Gaganmeet Sachdeva & Mr.
Harshpreet Singh, Advs.

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. MANISH
SHARMA, (DHJS)**

ORDER

31.01.2025

%

**I.A.No.6505/2022 (under Section 151 CPC r/w Order
VIII Rule 1 CPC filed by defendant for condonation of
delay of 59 days in filing the written statement) &**

**I.A.No.6506/2022 (under Section 151 CPC r/w Order
VIII Rule 1 CPC filed by defendant for condonation of
delay in re-filing the written statement)**

1. Learned proxy counsel for plaintiff seeks adjournment on the ground that main counsel is not available today.
2. Learned counsel for defendant seeks time to file fresh vakalatnama on behalf of the defendant on the ground that previous counsel Mr. Sanjeev Sagar has been elevated as Sr. Advocate.
3. In view of the above, matter stands adjourned.
4. As per office note, copy of judgment has been filed on



behalf of the defendant.

5. Parties are at liberty to file citations/judgments in support of their respective submissions within a week.
6. Re-notify the captioned IA for arguments on **17th April, 2025.**

MANISH SHARMA, (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
JANUARY 31, 2025/nk
Click here to check corrigendum, if any