



\$~2

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CS(COMM) 933/2018

M/S SATYA PARKASH & BROS. PVT. LTD.

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Mayank Bansal & Mr. Raj
Kamal Singh, Advs.

versus

EAST DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION

..... Defendant

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sagar, Ms. Nazia
Parveen & Mr. Gaganmeet
Sachdeva, Advs.

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. MANISH
SHARMA, (DHJS)**

ORDER

08.10.2024

%

**I.A.No.6505/2022 (under Section 151 CPC r/w Order
VIII Rule 1 CPC filed by defendant for condonation of
delay of 59 days in filing the written statement) &**

**I.A.No.6506/2022 (under Section 151 CPC r/w Order
VIII Rule 1 CPC filed by defendant for condonation of
delay in re-filing the written statement)**

1. Part arguments already heard.
2. As per office note, copy of judgment has been filed on behalf of the defendant.
3. Learned counsel for the defendant seeks adjournment.
Not opposed. Adjournment is granted.
4. Parties are at liberty to file citations/judgments in support of their respective submissions within a week.



5. Re-notify the captioned IA for further arguments on
19th December, 2024.

**MANISH SHARMA, (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

OCTOBER 8, 2024/nk

Click here to check corrigendum, if any