



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 933/2018**
M/S SATYA PARKASH & BROS. PVT. LTD.

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Mayank Bansal, Adv.

versus

EAST DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Tushar Sannu, SC for defendant
(E-mail: tusharsannu@gmail.com)

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. DEVENDER KUMAR
GARG (DHJS)

%

ORDER
31.08.2022

I.A.No.6505/2022 (under Section 151 r/w Order VIII Rule 1 CPC
filed on behalf of the defendant for condonation of delay of 59 days
in filing the written statement)

1. As per office noting, nothing fresh has been filed.
2. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that he has filed reply to captioned IA vide diary no.1462242 dated 24.08.2022. However, the same does not find mention in the office noting. Let appropriate steps be taken in this regard.
3. The applicant may file rejoinder within two weeks with advance copy to opposite party.
4. Re-notify the captioned IA for completion of pleadings and further proceedings on **09th December, 2022.**



I.A.No.6506/2022 (filed on behalf of defendant for condonation of delay in re-filing IA No.6505/2022)

1. As per office noting, nothing fresh has been filed.
2. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff seeks some more time for filing reply to the captioned IA.
3. Let reply to the captioned IA be filed within two weeks with advance copy to the defendant, who may file rejoinder within two weeks thereafter.
4. Re-notify the captioned IA for completion of pleadings and further proceedings on **09th December, 2022.**

CS(COMM) 933/2018

1. As per office noting, nothing fresh has been filed.
2. An application for condonation of delay in filing the written statement is pending consideration.
3. Re-notify the matter for further proceedings on **09th December, 2022.**

**DEVENDER KUMAR GARG (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

AUGUST 31, 2022/v

Click here to check corrigendum, if any