



\$~10, 11 & 14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 259/2009 & CRL.M.A. 1340/2026

BABLI

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Hussain, Adv. with Appellant-in-person.

versus

STATE N.C.T. OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Satinder Singh Bawa, APP for State with Insp. Yakub Khan, New Delhi Railway Station.

11

+ CRL.A. 260/2009 & CRL.M.A. 910/2026

BABLI

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Hussain, Adv. with Appellant-in-person.

versus

STATE

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Satinder Singh Bawa, APP for State with Insp. Ajay Kumar, Old Delhi Railway Station.

14

+ CRL.A. 662/2009

RAMESH

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Arpit Tripathi, proxy counsel for Mr. Archit Upadhyay (DHCLSC).

versus

THE STATE (GOVT OF NCT)

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Satinder Singh Bawa, APP for State with Insp. Ajay Kumar, Old Delhi Railway Station.



CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIMAL KUMAR YADAV

ORDER
24.07.2026

%

1. Hybrid Mode.

CRL.A. 259/2009 & CRL.A. 260/2009

2. Learned counsel for the Appellant is now satisfied with regard to the missing witnesses in view of what has been explained by the learned APP in light of the order dated 27.02.2008 of learned Trial Court, which reflects that the cases were consolidated together and that is why, this anomaly with regard to PWs is there. Learned counsel for the Appellant is now satisfied that there is nothing amiss qua the evidence, but seeks time to argue on some other day as he is yet to collect the complete record.

3. Learned counsel for the Appellant is given the liberty to collect the e-TCR from the Registry.

CRL.A. 662/2009

4. Learned counsel for the Appellant who had initially informed through the proxy counsel that he will be coming to argue, but then now he has expressed his inability for the reasons best known to him.

CRL.A. 259/2009, CRL.A. 260/2009 & CRL.A. 662/2009

5. List on 25.09.2026.

VIMAL KUMAR YADAV, J

JULY 24, 2026/akc