



\$~4

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

CS(OS) 122/2021

DR. SHIVPRIYA MANIVANNANPlaintiff

Through: Ms. Priya Mittal, Adv.

versus

NATIONAL BOARD OF EXAMINATIONS & ANR

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Waize Ali Noor, Mr. Varun
Rajawat & Mr. Zillur Rahman,
Adv. for D-1

Mr. Rajiv Singh, Adv. for D-2

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. PRIYA
MAHENDRA, (DHJS)**

ORDER

% **26.05.2025**

CS(OS) 122/2021

1. Pleadings are already complete qua defendant no.1&2.

2. As per record, physical copy of the documents filed by the plaintiff, defendant no.1 and defendant no.2, are already on record.

3. Further, revised Affidavit of Admission/Denial of the documents filed by defendant no.1 qua documents of the plaintiff are already on record

4. Further, revised Affidavit of Admission/Denial of the documents filed by defendant no.2 is lying under objections. No plausible explanation is furnished by defendant no.2 for not complying the last order on time. So, further cost of Rs.1500/- is imposed upon defendant no.2 to be deposited with Delhi High Court Legal Services Committee and the last and final opportunity is granted to defendant no.2 to take requisite steps within ten days to bring said revised Affidavit of Admission/Denial of the documents on record.



5. However, the plaintiff has not filed fresh Revised Affidavit of Admission/Denial of the documents till date in terms of order dated 04.03.2025. At this stage, the learned counsel for the plaintiff submits that he has filed the same recently. It be noted that the matter was last listed on 04.03.2025 by which three weeks' time was granted to the plaintiff to file Revised Affidavit of Admission/Denial of the documents. Admittedly, the said revised Affidavit of Admission/Denial of the documents was filed by the plaintiff only in May, 2025 and resultantly, the same is not on record. So, further cost of Rs.1500/- is imposed upon plaintiff to be deposited with Delhi High Court Legal Services Committee and the last and final opportunity is granted to plaintiff to take requisite steps within ten days to bring said fresh revised Affidavit of Admission/Denial of the documents on record.

6. The plaintiff and defendant no.2 have not deposited the previous cost of Rs.7000/- as imposed vide order dated 04.03.2025 in Advocate's Welfare Fund. At request, the same be deposited by the plaintiff and defendant no.2 within two weeks and they shall also file proof of cost with the registry. Both plaintiff and defendant no.2 are also directed to deposit the cost imposed today with two weeks and also file proof of cost with the registry.

7. Learned counsel for the defendant no.1 submits that he has not received Revised Affidavit of Admission/Denial of the documents filed by plaintiff and defendant no.2. The same be supplied by plaintiff and defendant no.2 to learned counsel for the defendant no.1 within one week through email.



8. The plaintiff is directed to share draft of Joint Schedule of the documents as compatible with revised Affidavits of Admission/Denial of the documents filed by the parties with learned counsels for the defendants within four weeks. Learned respective counsel for defendant no.1 and defendant no.2 are directed to either provide their inputs, if any, to the draft of Joint Schedule of the documents or to provide the signed copy of Joint Schedule of the documents to learned counsel for the plaintiff within two weeks thereafter. The plaintiff is directed to file soft copy as well as physical copy of Joint Schedule of the documents within two weeks thereafter with copy to the opposite side.

9. Both the parties are directed to file hard copy of the documents within four weeks, failing the defaulting party will deposit cost of Rs.2000/- with Delhi High Court Legal Services.

Re-notify the matter for marking of exhibits to the documents on 12.09.2025.

PRIYA MAHENDRA (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

MAY 26, 2025/ab

Click here to check corrigendum, if any