

\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA 521/2018

GIAN SINGH & ORS

..... Appellants

Through Mr. Lalit Bhardwaj, Advocate

versus

DHAN SINGH & ORS

..... Respondents

Through Mr. Davinder Varma, Advocate for R-1

Mr. Atha Sagar, Advocate for LR namely Santosh, Umesh and Hemant of deceased respondent no. 2

Mr. Bimal Prakash, Advocate for R-19 to 25, 30 & 33

CORAM:

SH. PARVEEN KUMAR UPPAL, REGISTRAR

ORDER

% **14.02.2020**

CM APPL. 34637/2019 (application u/O XXII Rule 4 CPC)

Respondent nos. 26 & 57 have been served.

Respondent no. 2 (b) has herself refused to accept the notice of the application. Therefore, she is deemed to be served.

Therefore, service is complete.

Let reply to the application be filed within four weeks with advance copy to the opposite party, who shall be at liberty to file the rejoinder, if any, before the next date of hearing.

CM APPL. 33800/2019 (application for impleadment of order dated 19.10.2019)

Respondent no. 2 (a), 2 (c), 26, 27 & 38 have been served.

Respondent no. 2 (b) has herself refused to accept the notice of the application. Therefore, she is deemed to be served.

Mr. Atha Sagar, Advocate appearing on behalf of LRs namely Santosh, Umesh and Hemant of deceased respondent no. 2 was requested to provide the address of respondent no. 2 (d)/ Ms. Anjana. He says that after marriage respondent no. 2 (d)/ Ms. Anjana has shifted from the premises, therefore, his client is not aware of the address of respondent no. 2 (d)/Ms. Anjana.

Counsel for the appellant is directed to furnish fresh address of respondent no. 2 (d), if any, and take fresh steps within three weeks to serve respondent no. 2 (d), by ordinary process as well as by registered AD post, returnable for 27.03.2020.

In the meantime, the served respondents may file reply to the application within four weeks with advance copy to the opposite party, who shall be at liberty to file the rejoinder, if any, before the next date of hearing.

REGISTRAR

FEBRUARY 14, 2020/sk