



\$~7 to 9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.A. 413/2016**

R S RUNGTA

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. R.K. Handoo, Adv.

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF
INVESTIGATION

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Tarannum Cheema
(through VC) with Mr.
Akash Singh, Adv.

+ **CRL.A. 414/2016**

R C RUNGTA

.....Appellant

Through:

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF
INVESTIGATION

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Tarannum Cheema
(through VC) with Mr.
Akash Singh, Adv.

+ **CRL.A. 714/2016**

M/S. JHARKHAND ISPAT
PRIVATE LIMITED

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Rajiv Mohan, Mr.
Swapnil Krishna, Mr.
Prakhar Bhardwaj, Mr.
Chandveer Shyoram, Mr.
Sachil Sharma & Mr.
Ujjwal Krishna, Adv.

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF
INVESTIGATION

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Tarannum Cheema
(through VC) with Mr.
Akash Singh, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

07.04.2025

%



CRL.M.(BAIL) 881/2016 (for suspension of sentence) in CRL.A. 413/2016

CRL.M.(BAIL) 882/2016 (for suspension of sentence) in CRL.A. 414/2016

1. The present appeals are filed challenging the judgment of conviction dated 28.03.2016 and the order on sentence dated 04.04.2016, passed by the learned Special Judge, in CC No. 05/14.
2. By the judgment dated 28.03.2016, the appellants were convicted for offences under Sections 120B read with Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC').
3. By the order on sentence dated 04.04.2016, the appellants were sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of four years each and to pay fine of ₹2,50,000/- each, and in default of payment of fine, to undergo simple imprisonment for a period one year each.
4. The present applications have been filed seeking suspension of sentence of the appellants, and their release on bail till the disposal of the present appeal.
5. The order on sentence dated 04.04.2016 was suspended long back by this Court *vide* order dated 13.05.2016 and the appellants in CRL.A. 413/2016 and CRL.A. 414/2016 were directed to be released on interim bail till 31.07.2016. The order has been extended from time to time.
6. The learned counsel for the appellants submits that the appellants are more than 80 years of age. He submits that they had not misused the liberty pursuant to the interim bail granted by this Court.
7. The final hearing of the appeal is likely going to take considerable period of time and considering the board of the



Court, it cannot be ensured that the matter will be adjudicated before completion of the entire sentence.

8. It is submitted that the fine amount has already been paid by the appellants.

9. In view of the above, the order dated 13.05.2016 is made absolute and the order on sentence dated 04.04.2016 is suspended till the pendency of the appeals on the same terms and conditions as imposed by order dated 13.05.2016.

10. The present applications are allowed in the aforesaid terms.

CRL.A. 413/2016, CRL.A. 414/2016 and CRL.A. 714/2016

11. List for arguments on 27.05.2025.

12. A copy of this order be placed in all the matters.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

APRIL 7, 2025

"SS"