



\$~5

\*

+

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
**CS(COMM) 425/2016**  
**M/S JAGRAN PRAKASHAN LTD**

.....Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Prakriti Sharma, Advocate

versus

**DAINIK JAGRAN NEWS PAPERS PVT LTD & ORS**  
.....Defendant

Through: Ms. Subasri Jaganathan (VC),  
Proxy Counsel (On instructions  
from main Counsel)

**CORAM:**

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) Dr. AJAY GULATI**

**ORDER**

%

**13.05.2025**

**I.A. No. 7906/2025 on behalf of the applicant for impleadment as the defendant.**

1. Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that reply has been filed but the same is lying under objections on account of delay. On the oral request of ld. Counsel for the plaintiff, delay is condoned. Reply is taken on record. Ld. Counsel for the proposed defendant has no objection to the same.

2. As per the report of the Registry, defendant could not be served through ordinary mode with remarks “returned as unserved”. Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff has however highlighted that the defendant company (at present the sole defendant) is defunct and is not functioning any longer. Ld. Counsel has further highlighted that efforts to serve the defendant company through court notice have also not been successful. Rejoinder be

filed within three weeks, as worked out from today. Put up again for completion of pleadings.

*This is a digitally signed order.*

*The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.*

*The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 15/05/2025 at 12:34:48*



**I.A. No. 5211/2025 on behalf of the applicants for impleadment as the defendants.**

3. Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that reply has been filed but the same is lying under objections on account of delay. On the oral request of ld. Counsel for the plaintiff, delay is condoned. Reply is taken on record. Ld. Counsel for the proposed defendant has no objection to the same.

4. It needs a highlight that no notice was issued on this IA to the defendant. However, ld. Counsel for the plaintiff has highlighted that the defendant company (at present the sole defendant) is defunct and is not functioning any longer. Ld. Counsel has further highlighted that efforts to serve defendant's company through court notice have also not been successful. Put up again for completion of pleadings.

5. Put up for completion of pleadings on 28.07.2025.

**Dr. AJAY GULATI  
(DHJS),**

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

**MAY 13, 2025/sk**

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)